UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE GULF OF MEXICO REGION

ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

1.	OCCURRED	
	DATE:	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE
	23-OCT-2009 TIME: 1045 HOURS	CRANE
2.	OPERATOR: Nexen Petroleum U.S.A. Inc. REPRESENTATIVE: Miller, Karl TELEPHONE: (337) 735-2504 CONTRACTOR: Ensco Offshore Co. REPRESENTATIVE: Morganelli, Jason TELEPHONE: (281) 560-8524	X OTHER LIFTING DEVICE Pipe Handler DAMAGED/DISABLED SAFETY SYS. INCIDENT >\$25K H2S/15MIN./20PPM REQUIRED MUSTER SHUTDOWN FROM GAS RELEASE OTHER
3.	OPERATOR/CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE/SUPERVISOR ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT:	6. OPERATION:
	LEASE: G26315 AREA: GC LATITUDE: BLOCK: 512 LONGITUDE:	PRODUCTION X DRILLING WORKOVER COMPLETION HELICOPTER MOTOR VESSEL PIPELINE SEGMENT NO.
5.	PLATFORM: RIG NAME: ENSCO 8501	OTHER
6.	ACTIVITY: EXPLORATION (POE) DEVELOPMENT/PRODUCTION (DOCD/POD)	8. CAUSE:
7.	TYPE: HISTORIC INJURY REQUIRED EVACUATION LTA (1-3 days) LTA (>3 days RW/JT (1-3 days)	HUMAN ERROR EXTERNAL DAMAGE SLIP/TRIP/FALL WEATHER RELATED LEAK UPSET H2O TREATING OVERBOARD DRILLING FLUID OTHER
	RW/JT (>3 days) Other Injury	
		9. WATER DEPTH: 3612 FT.
	POLLUTION FIRE	10. DISTANCE FROM SHORE: 119 MI.
	LWC HISTORIC BLOWOUT	11. WIND DIRECTION: N SPEED: 1 M.P.H.
	SURFACE DEVERTER SURFACE EQUIPMENT FAILURE OR PROCEDURES	12. CURRENT DIRECTION: N SPEED: 1 M.P.H.
	COLLISION HISTORIC >\$25K <- \$25K	13. SEA STATE: 1 FT.

EV2010R

On 23 October 2009 at approximately 1015 hours, 65 feet of Blowout Preventer (BOP) test assembly dropped onto the rig floor in preparation to test the BOPs. The 65 feet of BOP test assembly, weighing approximately 5100 pounds, was assembled on the rig floor's starboard fox hole and consisted of two full opening safety valves, one side entry sub, and 55 feet of 6 5/8-inch drill pipe. Rig personel raised the assembly out of the starboard fox hole using the rig's horizontal to Vertical Pipe Handler (HTV) and began moving the assembly towards the catwalk machine. The objective was to land the assembly in the catwalk and bring it to the well center in order to use the elevators on the traveling block to lift the assembly. As the HTV was traversed towards the catwalk machine, the BOP test assembly fell approximately 45 feet with the pin end landing inside the catwalk machine and the box end coming to rest near the rotary table while striking the iron roughneck guide track. There were no injuries sustained from this incident since all personel were clear of the danger area as per the Job Safety Analysis (JSA) and work instruction documents developed for the task. A safety stand-down was held with all personnel involved as well as the crews coming on tower.

An investigation by Nexen and Ensco determined that:

- The assembly length and/or configuration was too long for the HTV.

- The HTV guide roller and grip roller jaws were forced open because the length and weight of the BOP test assembly overloaded the HTV.

- The Operator's manual for the HTV has no horizontal load rating limitations for the length of pipe handled, and personnel did not consider this hazard.

- Clear operating parameters and equipment design limits were not established as part of on-the-job training.

18. LIST THE PROBABLE CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

The assembly length and/or configuration was too long for the HTV, and the HTV guide roller and grip roller jaws were forced open when the BOP test assembly length and weight overloaded the HTV.

19. LIST THE CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

1. The Operator's manual for the HTV has no horizontal load rating limitations for the length of pipe handled.

2. Clear operating parameters and equipment design limits were not established as part of on-the-job training.

20. LIST THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The following steps have since been implemented to prevent recurrence: * The Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) issued a world-wide product information bulletin to demonstrate four pipe scenarios and the proper location of the HTV for lifting.

* It has been determined that only single joints of pipe should be transferred from the horizontal to vertical position and vice versa.

* A sign is posted at the HTV controls stating, "HTV will only be used to handle a single joint of drill pipe or drill collar."

* The Drilling Contractor will ensure that all personnel authorized to run the HTV have a clear understanding that only one joint of pipe will be handled at a time. * The OEM will update manual to convey clear operating limits and guidlines.

* The OEM will provide a training guide and internal Field Service Technicians on the subject equipment.

21. PROPERTY DAMAGED:

NATURE OF DAMAGE:

Dropped objects.

The box end of the test assembly. The Iron Roughneck track. Rollers and Dies in the HTV.

ESTIMATED AMOUNT (TOTAL): \$100,000

22. RECOMMENDATIONS TO PREVENT RECURRANCE NARRATIVE:

Due to the nature of this incident, the Houma District has no recommendations to the Regional Office of Safety Management (OSM).

23. POSSIBLE OCS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO ACCIDENT: NO

24. SPECIFY VIOLATIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING. NARRATIVE:

N/A

25. DATE OF ONSITE INVESTIGATION:

26. ONSITE TEAM MEMBERS:

Josh Ladner / Ben Coco /

29. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PANEL FORMED: NO

OCS REPORT:

30. DISTRICT SUPERVISOR:

Bryan A. Domangue

APPROVED DATE: 16-MAR-2010

Crane/Other Material-Handling Equipment Attachment

Equipment Information

Installation date: 24-APR-0009
Manufacturer: NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO (NOV)
Manufacture date: 03-JAN-0008
Make/Model: HTV MACHINE / T6283-4907
Any modifications since manufactured? Describe and include date(s).
What was the maximum lifting capacity at the time of the lift?
Static: Dynamic:
Was a tag line utilized during the lift? N
Were there any known documented deficiencies prior to conducting
the lift? If yes, what were the deficiencies?
List specific type of failure that occured during this
incident.(e.g. cable parted, sticking control valve, etc.)

If sling/loose gear failure occurred does operator have a sling/loose gear inspection program in place?

Type of lift:

Load Information

What was being lifted? BOP TEST ASSEMBLY

Description of what was being lifted (e.g. 10 joints of 2 3/8-inch pipe, ten 500-lb. sacks of sand, 2 employees, etc.)

2 full opening safety valves, 1 side entry sub, and 55' of 6 5/8" drill pipe

Approximate weight of load being lifted: 5100

Was crane/lifting device equipped with an operable weight indicator? N

Was the load identified with the correct or approximate weight? N

Where was the lift started, where was it destined to finish, and at what point in the lift did the incident occur? Give specific details (e.g. pipe rack, riser cart, drill floor, etc.)

move assembly from stb fox hole to catwalk to transfer to rig floor

If personnel was being lifted at the time of this incident, give specific details of lifting device and riding apparatus in use (e.g. 1) crane-personnel basket, 2) air hoist-boatswain chair, other)

Were personnel wearing a safety harness?

Was a lifeline available and utilized?

List property lost overboard.

Rigger/Operator Information

Has rigger had rigger training?
If yes, date of last training:
How many years of rigger experience did rigger have?
How many hours was the operator on duty prior to the incident?
Was operator on medication when incident occurred? N
How many hours was the rigger on duty prior to the incident?
How much sleep did rigger have in the 24 hours preceding this incident?
Was rigger on medication when incident occurred?
Were all personnel involved in the lift drug tested immediately following this incident?

Operator: N Rigger: Other:

While conducting the lift, was line of sight between operator and load maintained?

N

Does operator wear glasses or contact lenses? N

If so, were glasses or contacts in use at time of the incident? $\ensuremath{\,N}$

Does operator wear a hearing aid? N

If so, was operator using hearing aid at time of the incident? N

What type of communication system was being utilized between operator and rigger at time of this incident?

For crane only:

What crane training institution did crane operator attend?

Where was institution located?

Was operator qualified on this type of crane? ${\tt N}$

How much actual operational time did operator have on this particular crane involved in this incident?

Years: Months:

List recent crane operator training dates.

For other material-handling equipment only:

Has operator been trained to operate the lifting device involved in the incident? ${\tt Y}$

How many years of experience did operator have operating the specific type of lifting device involved in the incident?

Inspection/Maintenance Information

For crane only: Is the crane involved classified as Heavy, Moderate or Infrequent use. Was pre-use inspeciton conducted? For the annual/quarterly/monthly crane inspections, please fill out the following information: What was the date of the last inspection? Who performed the last inspection? Was inspection conducted in-house or by a 3rd party? Who qualified the inspector? Does operators' policy require load or pull test prior to heavy lift? Which type of test was conducted prior to heavy lift? Load test: Date of last pull test: Results: If fail explain why: Test Parameters: Boom angle: Radius:

What was the date of most recent crane maintenance performed? Who performed crane maintenance? (Please clarify persons name or company name.)

Was crane maintenance performed in-house or by a third party? What type of maintenance was performed?

For other material-handling equipment only:

Was equipment visually inspected before the lift took place? ${f Y}$

What is the manufacture's recommendation for performing periodic inspection on the equipment involved in this incident?

Weekly, Monthly, and Quarterly

Safety Management Systems

Does the company have a safety management program in place? N

Does the company's safety management program address crane/other materialhandling equipment operations? N Provide any remarks you may have that applies to the company's safety management program and this incident? Did operator fill out a Job Safety Analysis (JSA) prior to job being performed? Y Did operator have an operational or safety meeting prior to job being performed? What precautions were taken by operator before conducting lift resulting in incident? Procedures in place for crane/other material-handling equipment activities: Did operator have procedures written? N Did procedures cover the circumstances of this incident? ${\tt N}$ Was a copy available for review prior to incident? N Were procedures available to MMS upon request? ${\tt Y}$ Is it documented that operator's representative reviewed procedures before conducting lift? N Additional observations or concerns: