UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT GULF OF MEXICO REGION

ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

1.	OCCURRED DATE: 30-AUG-2010 TIME: 1000 HOURS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE
2.	OPERATOR: Apache Corporation REPRESENTATIVE: Dugas, David TELEPHONE: (337) 354-8124 CONTRACTOR: Helmerich & Payne REPRESENTATIVE: Deer, Wade TELEPHONE: (800) 647-5338	OTHER LIFTING DEVICE DAMAGED/DISABLED SAFETY SYS. X INCIDENT >\$25K Supply vessel/crane aux. H2S/15MIN./20PPM winch REQUIRED MUSTER X SHUTDOWN FROM GAS RELEASE OTHER Drill pipe/wire rope
3.	OPERATOR/CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE/SUPERVISOR ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT:	6. OPERATION:
	LEASE: G05800 AREA: EW LATITUDE: BLOCK: 826 LONGITUDE: PLATFORM: A	PRODUCTION DRILLING WORKOVER X COMPLETION HELICOPTER MOTOR VESSEL
5.	RIG NAME: H&P 100	PIPELINE SEGMENT NO. OTHER
	ACTIVITY: X EXPLORATION(POE) DEVELOPMENT/PRODUCTION (DOCD/POD) TYPE: HISTORIC INJURY REQUIRED EVACUATION LTA (1-3 days) LTA (>3 days) RW/JT (1-3 days) RW/JT (>3 days) Other Injury	 8. CAUSE: 8. CAUSE: B. EQUIPMENT FAILURE HUMAN ERROR EXTERNAL DAMAGE SLIP/TRIP/FALL WEATHER RELATED LEAK UPSET H20 TREATING OVERBOARD DRILLING FLUID OTHER 9. WATER DEPTH: 483 FT.
	POLLUTION FIRE EXPLOSION	10. DISTANCE FROM SHORE: 54 MI.
	LWC HISTORIC BLOWOUT UNDERGROUND SURFACE DEVERTER SURFACE EQUIPMENT FAILURE OR PROCEDURES	<pre>11. WIND DIRECTION:</pre>
	COLLISION HISTORIC >\$25K <pre>< <=\$25K</pre>	13. SEA STATE: FT.

EV2010R

17. INVESTIGATION FINDINGS:

On 30-Aug-2010 at 1000 hours, the crane crew was utilizing a Unit 10,000 fixed pedestal crane to backload 10 joints of 4-inch drillpipe from the Helmerich & Payne (H&P) 100 rig's pipe rack to the Ada B Callais supply vessel. When the load reached the outboard west side of the platform, the crane operator initiated the hoist down control. Upon hearing a noise, he he immediately released the controls. The load began to free fall, resulting from failure of the crane's Braden CH150A auxiliary winch on the fast line. When the wire rope on the winch completely unspooled and reached the drum, the rope parted approximately 1-foot from the wedge that connects the wire rope to the drum. Both the bundle of drill pipe and wire rope contacted the rear of the vessel before falling into the water.

Subsequent to the incident, H&P sent the failed winch to National Oilwell VACRO (NOV) in Covington, Louisiana. On 7-Sep-2010, H&P witnessed the physical breakdown of the winch by NOV. NOV inspected the ring gear for fatigue cracks in the roots of the teeth and verified the gear's thickness. The investigation showed that the thickness was within specifications and there were no cracks in the ring gear teeth roots other than in the locations that failed. From this investigation, NOV concluded that the ring gear was not faulty; however, the ring gear was deemed previously overloaded and cracked in the location of failure. It was concluded that the initial cracks eventually led to the ring gear failure on the auxiliary winch; however, Apache has not been able to determine when/if the crane had ever been overloaded. Although the conclusion of the investigation was that the ring gear was actually overloaded.

Following the incident, Apache confirmed through an audit of H&Ps Drilling EH&S processes, that proper maintenance had been performed on the crane prior to the incident. Apache is confident that H&P had the necessary policies and procedures in place to minimize the chances of such an incident occurring again.

18. LIST THE PROBABLE CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

A ring gear failure on the auxiliary winch.

19. LIST THE CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

Initial stress cracking of the rig gear from possible previous overloading. 20. LIST THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

 The H&P 100 is a platform rig and, at the time of the incident, was aboard Apache's Ewing Banks 826 platform A.
 The last crane inspection performed by NOV was performed six days prior to this incident.

3. No injuries occurred during this incident.

21. PROPERTY DAMAGED:

NATURE OF DAMAGE:

winch on the crane.

There was damage to the supply vessel The supply vessel had surface damage and (M/V Ada B Callais) and the auxiliary the winch required replacement.

ESTIMATED AMOUNT (TOTAL): \$26,300

22. RECOMMENDATIONS TO PREVENT RECURRANCE NARRATIVE:

The Houma District has no recommendations for the Regional Office of Safety Management.

Due to the conclusion that this incident occurred because of an unexpected failure of a mechanical component on the crane, the Houma District agrees with Apache's actions of having H&P conduct a investigation on the ring gear, making sure the crane maintenance was properly done prior to the incident and auditing H&P's EH&S processes.

- 23. POSSIBLE OCS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO ACCIDENT: NO
- 24. SPECIFY VIOLATIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING. NARRATIVE:

n/a

- 25. DATE OF ONSITE INVESTIGATION:
- 26. ONSITE TEAM MEMBERS: Casey Bisso /

29. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PANEL FORMED: NO

OCS REPORT:

30. DISTRICT SUPERVISOR:

Bryan A. Domangue

APPROVED 27-JAN-2011 DATE:

INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT

OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE X CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE OTHER	INJURY FATALITY X WITNESS	
NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY: BUSINESS ADDRESS:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	YEAR
CITY: ZIP CODE:	STATE :	
 OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE X CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE OTHER 	INJURY FATALITY X WITNESS	
NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	YEAR

Crane/Other Material-Handling Equipment Attachment

Equipment Information

Installation date: 24-SEP-1991
Manufacturer: UNIT/MARINER
Manufacture date: 24-SEP-1991
Make/Model: UNIT / 10000
Any modifications since manufactured? Describe and include date(s).

None

What was the maximum lifting capacity at the time of the lift? Static:12000 Dynamic: 12000

Was a tag line utilized during the lift? \mathbf{Y}

Were there any known documented deficiencies prior to conducting the lift? If yes, what were the deficiencies?

None

List specific type of failure that occured during this incident.(e.g. cable parted, sticking control valve, etc.)

Auxillary hoist winch failure.

If sling/loose gear failure occurred does operator have a sling/loose gear inspection program in place? NA

Type of lift: DM

For crane only:

Type of crane: HYDRAULIC

Boom angle at time of incident: Degrees: 64 Radius: 44

What was load limit at that angle? 12000

Crane equipped with: B

Which line was in use at time of incident? **F** If load line involved, what configuration is the load block: **0** part.

Load Information

What was being lifted? PIPE

Description of what was being lifted (e.g. 10 joints of 2 3/8-inch pipe, ten 500-lb. sacks of sand, 2 employees, etc.)

10 joints of 4-inch drill pipe.

Approximate weight of load being lifted: 4410

Was crane/lifting device equipped with an operable weight indicator? N

Was the load identified with the correct or approximate weight? ${f Y}$

Where was the lift started, where was it destined to finish, and at what point in the lift did the incident occur? Give specific details (e.g. pipe rack, riser cart, drill floor, etc.)

The 10 joints of drill pipe were initially lifted from the pipe rack & was destined to land on the supply vessel. When the crane operator initiated the hoist down control on the west outboard side of the platform, the drillpipe began to free fall slightly stricking the back of the vessel & eventually landing in the water.

If personnel was being lifted at the time of this incident, give specific details of lifting device and riding apparatus in use (e.g. 1) crane-personnel basket, 2) air hoist-boatswain chair, other)

N/A

Were personnel wearing a safety harness? NA

Was a lifeline available and utilized? NA

List property lost overboard.

10 JOINTS OF 4-INCH DRILLPIPE WITH WIRE ROPE

Rigger/Operator Information

Has rigger had rigger training? y
If yes, date of last training: 20-MAR-2009
How many years of rigger experience did rigger have? 4
How many hours was the operator on duty prior to the incident? 3
Was operator on medication when incident occurred? N
How many hours was the rigger on duty prior to the incident? 3
How much sleep did rigger have in the 24 hours preceding this incident? 9
Was rigger on medication when incident occurred? N
Were all personnel involved in the lift drug tested immediately following this incident?

Operator: Y Rigger: N Other:

While conducting the lift, was line of sight between operator and load maintained?

Y

Does operator wear glasses or contact lenses? ${\tt N}$

If so, were glasses or contacts in use at time of the incident? $\ensuremath{\,N}$

Does operator wear a hearing aid? N

If so, was operator using hearing aid at time of the incident? N

What type of communication system was being utilized between operator and rigger at time of this incident?

RADIO/VHF

For crane only:

What crane training institution did crane operator attend?

PLATFORM CRANE SCHOOL

Where was institution located? **SLIDELL, LOUISIANA** Was operator qualified on this type of crane? **Y**

MMS - FORM 2010 EV2010R How much actual operational time did operator have on this particular crane involved in this incident?

Years: 6 Months 0

List recent crane operator training dates. 31-OCT-2008

For other material-handling equipment only:

Has operator been trained to operate the lifting device involved in the incident? ${\tt N}$

How many years of experience did operator have operating the specific type of lifting device involved in the incident?

Inspection/Maintenance Information

For crane only: Is the crane involved classified as Heavy, Moderate or Infrequent use. н Y Was pre-use inspeciton conducted? For the annual/quarterly/monthly crane inspections, please fill out the following information: What was the date of the last inspection? 24-AUG-2010 Who performed the last inspection? KURT OSE Was inspection conducted in-house or by a 3rd party? ͲР Who qualified the inspector? NATIONAL OILWELL VACRO Does operators' policy require load or pull test prior to heavy lift? Y Which type of test was conducted prior to heavy lift? P Load test: 01-JAN-1472 Date of last pull test: 26-AUG-2010 Results: **P** If fail explain why: No Load test was conducted for this lift but, a date was required to be entered into TIMs. Test Parameters: Boom angle: 71 Radius: 33 What was the date of most recent crane maintenance performed? 18-AUG-2010 Who performed crane maintenance? (Please clarify persons name or company name.) RICHARD FINLEY, H&P DRILLING (MECHANIC) Was crane maintenance performed in-house or by a third party? IH

What type of maintenance was performed? Hydraulic filter was changed out.

For other material-handling equipment only:

Was equipment visually inspected before the lift took place?

What is the manufacture's recommendation for performing periodic inspection on the equipment involved in this incident?

MMS - FORM 2010

EV2010R

Safety Management Systems

Does the company have a safety management program in place? N

Does the company's safety management program address crane/other materialhandling equipment operations?

Y

Provide any remarks you may have that applies to the company's safety management program and this incident?

Apache does have a Safety Management Program in place.

Did operator fill out a Job Safety Analysis (JSA) prior to job being performed?

Did operator have an operational or safety meeting prior to job being performed?

Y

What precautions were taken by operator before conducting lift resulting in incident?

1. Riggers maintained a safe distance from load transfer 2. The load was lowered over the water 3. Long tag lines were utalized during the lift.

Procedures in place for crane/other material-handling equipment activities:

Did operator have procedures written? Y

Did procedures cover the circumstances of this incident? N

Was a copy available for review prior to incident? Y

Were procedures available to MMS upon request? Y

Is it documented that operator's representative reviewed procedures before conducting lift?

N

Additional observations or concerns:

The written procedures were located in the deck operator's office.