UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT
GULF OF MEXICO REGION

ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

For Public Release

1.	OCCURRED		
	DATE:		STRUCTURAL DAMAGE
	07-APR-2013 TIME: 1745 HOURS		X CRANE
127			OTHER LIFTING DEVICE
2.	OPERATOR: Black Elk Energy Offshore Operation	0	DAMAGED/DISABLED SAFETY SYS.
	REPRESENTATIVE:		INCIDENT >\$25K
	TELEPHONE:		H2S/15MIN./20PPM
	CONTRACTOR:		REQUIRED MUSTER
	REPRESENTATIVE:		SHUTDOWN FROM GAS RELEASE
	TELEPHONE:		OTHER
2	OPERATOR/CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE/SUPERVISOR		
٥.	ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT:	6.	OPERATION:
			X PRODUCTION
4.	LEASE: G14585		DRILLING
CM2	AREA: MP LATITUDE: 29.32724991		WORKOVER
	BLOCK: 264 LONGITUDE: -88.24009019		COMPLETION HELICOPTER
	BLOCK: 204 BONGITODE: CO.LICOSCI.		MOTOR VESSEL
5	PLATFORM: A		PIPELINE SEGMENT NO.
٠.	RIG NAME:		OTHER
	KIO NAME.		
6.	ACTIVITY: EXPLORATION (POE)	8.	CAUSE:
	X DEVELOPMENT/PRODUCTION		m
	(DOCD/POD)		X EQUIPMENT FAILURE X HUMAN ERROR
7.	TYPE:		EXTERNAL DAMAGE
	HISTORIC INJURY		SLIP/TRIP/FALL
	REQUIRED EVACUATION		WEATHER RELATED
	LTA (1-3 days)		LEAK
	LTA (>3 days		UPSET H20 TREATING
	RW/JT (1-3 days)		OVERBOARD DRILLING FLUID
	RW/JT (>3 days)		OTHER
	Other Injury	0	WATER DEPTH: 238 FT.
	☐ FATALITY	9.	WATER DEPTH: 238 FT.
	POLLUTION	10	DIGHNAGE EDOM GUODE 40 MT
	FIRE	10.	DISTANCE FROM SHORE: 48 MI.
	EXPLOSION		
	LWC HISTORIC BLOWOUT	11.	WIND DIRECTION:
	LWC HISTORIC BLOWOUT UNDERGROUND		SPEED: 10 M.P.H.
	SURFACE		
	DEVERTER	12.	CURRENT DIRECTION:
	SURFACE EQUIPMENT FAILURE OR PROCEDURES		SPEED: M.P.H.
	COLLISION HISTORIC >\$25K <=\$25K	13.	SEA STATE: 4 FT.

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 1 OF 11

17. INVESTIGATION FINDINGS: -

According to witness statements, while attempting to offload a coil tubing pump weighing 16,500 lbs off the Motor Vessel (MV) Grand Isle Shipyard (GIS) Ashley, the boat dropped from under the load suddenly, or the crane operator did not pick up as needed, resulting in shock loading the crane. The main hoist cable jumped outside the boom tip sheaves and bird nested the hoist winch drum. The crane operator continued lifting the pump to the top deck of the platform, cutting the sheave spacer, kinking the cable and further bird nesting the main hoist winch drum and tearing up the winch breaks.

- 18. LIST THE PROBABLE CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:
 - 1) Shock loading the crane.

- 19. LIST THE CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:
 - 1) Crane operator failed to operate crane under control and in a safe manner.
 - 2) Not stopping the job after possibly shock loading the crane and looking for possible damage.
- 20. LIST THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:
 - 1) The crane operator/Lead Operator had just been assigned to manage MP 264A just a couple of weeks prior to this incident.

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 2 OF 11-

The MMS New Orleans District makes no recommendations to the MMS Regional Office of

- 23. POSSIBLE OCS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO ACCIDENT: YES
- 24. SPECIFY VIOLATIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING. NARRATIVE:

I-102 C 250.180: Failure to operate crane in a safe manner.

25. DATE OF ONSITE INVESTIGATION:

05-MAY-2013

26. ONSITE TEAM MEMBERS:

Gerald Taylor /

29. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PANEL FORMED: NO

OCS REPORT:

30. DISTRICT SUPERVISOR:

David Trocquet

APPROVED

DATE:

19-MAR-2014

INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT

	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE		INJURY
x	CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE		FATALITY
	OTHER	x	WITNESS
NAI	ME:		
HOI	ME ADDRESS:		
CT	TV•		STATE:

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 3 OF 11

EV2010R 14-APR-2014

INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT

WORK PHONE:	TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	23	Y
EMPLOYED BY:			
BUSINESS ADDRESS:			
CITY:	STATE:		
ZIP CODE:			
OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE	INJURY		
x CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE OTHER	TATALITY X WITNESS		
_			
OTHER			
OTHER NAME:			
OTHER NAME: HOME ADDRESS:	x witness	2	YI
OTHER NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY:	X WITNESS STATE:	2	Y
OTHER NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE:	X WITNESS STATE:	2	YI
OTHER NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY:	X WITNESS STATE:	2	ΥΊ

Crane/Other Material-Handling Equipment Attachment

Equipment Information

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 4 OF 11 EV2010R 14-APR-2014

Installation date: 09-FEB-1990

Manufacturer: NAUTILUS

Manufacture date: 09-FEB-1990
Make/Model: NAUTILUS / 60B2-70

Any modifications since manufactured? Describe and include date(s).

Shortened boom to increase load capability

What was the maximum lifting capacity at the time of the lift?

Static: 4530 Dynamic: 4530

Was a tag line utilized during the lift? Y

Were there any known documented deficiencies prior to conducting the lift? If yes, what were the deficiencies?

None

List specific type of failure that occured during this incident.(e.g. cable parted, sticking control valve, etc.)

Shock load crane. Bird nest hoist winch drum. Damages to cable.

If sling/loose gear failure occurred does operator have a sling/loose gear inspection program in place? \mathbf{y}

Type of lift: MD

For crane only:

Type of crane: HYDRAULIC

Boom angle at time of incident: Degrees: 0 Radius: 0

What was load limit at that angle? 4530

Crane equipped with: B

Which line was in use at time of incident? F-

If load line involved, what configuration is the load block: 0 part.

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 5 OF 11-

Load Information

What was being lifted?

Description of what was being lifted (e.g. 10 joints of 2 3/8-inch pipe, ten 500-lb. sacks of sand, 2 employees, etc.)

Coil tubing pump

Approximate weight of load being lifted: 16500

Was crane/lifting device equipped with an operable weight indicator? Y

Was the load identified with the correct or approximate weight? Y

Where was the lift started, where was it destined to finish, and at what point in the lift did the incident occur? Give specific details (e.g. pipe rack, riser cart, drill floor, etc.)

The lift started on the back deck of the M/V GIS Ashley. The crane operator attempted to offload a coil tubing pump weighing 16,500 lbs. The load was to be placed on the top deck of the platform. After the main hoist line was attached to the load, the boat suddenly dropped, or the crane operator did not pick up or time his pick up as needed. The result was shock loading the crane. The main hoist cable jumped outside of the boom tip sheaves while bird nesting the main hoist drum. The operations continued lifting the pump to the top deck of the platform, cutting the sheave spacer, kinking the cable and further bird nesting the main hoist winch drum. And tearing up the winch breaks.

If personnel was being lifted at the time of this incident, give specific details of lifting device and riding apparatus in use (e.g. 1) crane-personnel basket, 2) air hoist-boatswain chair, other)

Were personnel wearing a safety harness?

Was a lifeline available and utilized?

List property lost overboard.

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 6 OF 11-

Rigger/Operator Information

```
Has rigger had rigger training?
If yes, date of last training: 10-JUL-2011-
How many years of rigger experience did rigger have? 2
How many hours was the operator on duty prior to the incident? 11
Was operator on medication when incident occurred?
How many hours was the rigger on duty prior to the incident?
                                                                 11
How much sleep did rigger have in the 24 hours preceding this incident?
Was rigger on medication when incident occurred? N
Were all personnel involved in the lift drug tested immediately following
this incident?
   Operator: N
                      Rigger: N
                                        Other:
While conducting the lift, was line of sight between operator and load
maintained? -
  N -
Does operator wear glasses or contact lenses? N -
If so, were glasses or contacts in use at time of the incident? {\bf N}-
Does operator wear a hearing aid?
If so, was operator using hearing aid at time of the incident? N-
What type of communication system was being utilized between operator and
rigger at time of this incident?
  RADIO/VHF
```

For crane only:

What crane training institution did crane operator attend?

FALCK ALFORD

Where was institution located? HOUMA -

Was operator qualified on this type of crane? Y-

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 7 OF 11-14-APR-2014How much actual operational time did operator have on this particular crane involved in this incident?

Years: 0 Months 1

List recent crane operator training dates.

NOT AVAILABLE

For other material-handling equipment only:

Has operator been trained to operate the lifting device involved in the incident? ${f N}$

How many years of experience did operator have operating the specific type of-lifting device involved in the incident?-

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 8 OF 11-

Inspection/Maintenance Information

For crane only:

```
Is the crane involved classified as Heavy, Moderate or Infrequent use.
Was pre-use inspeciton conducted?
For the annual/quarterly/monthly crane inspections, please fill out the following
information:
What was the date of the last inspection? 25-JAN-2013
Who performed the last inspection? PHOENIX
Was inspection conducted in-house or by a 3rd party?
Who qualified the inspector?
                               PHOENIX OFFSHORE SOLUTIONS
Does operators' policy require load or pull test prior to heavy lift? N
Which type of test was conducted prior to heavy lift? {f L}
                                        Load test: 25-JAN-2013
Date of last pull test: 25-JAN-2013
Results: P
 If fail explain why:
 Test Parameters: Boom angle: 0
                                               Radius: 0
 What was the date of most recent crane maintenance performed? 25-JAN-2013
 Who performed crane maintenance? (Please clarify persons name or company name.)
   HYDRADYNE HYDRAULICS
 Was crane maintenance performed in-house or by a third party? Tp.
  What type of maintenance was performed? -
  Not Available
```

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 9 OF 11-EV2010R 14-APR-2014-

For other material-handling equipment only:

Was equipment visually inspected before the lift took place?

What is the manufacture's recommendation for performing periodic inspection on the equipment involved in this incident?

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 10 OF 11-

Safety Management Systems

```
Does the company have a safety management program in place? N
Does the company's safety management program address crane/other material-
handling equipment operations?
Provide any remarks you may have that applies to the company's safety management
program and this incident?
Did operator fill out a Job Safety Analysis (JSA) prior to job being performed?
Did operator have an operational or safety meeting prior to job being performed?
  Y
What precautions were taken by operator before conducting lift resulting in
incident?
Procedures in place for crane/other material-handling equipment activities:
 Did operator have procedures written?
 Did procedures cover the circumstances of this incident?
 Was a copy available for review prior to incident?
Were procedures available to MMS upon request?
Is it documented that operator's representative reviewed procedures before conducting
lift?
Additional observations or concerns:
```

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 11 OF 11-EV2010R 14-APR-2014 -